UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,041	07/10/2007	Yoshitsugu Morita	DC10032PCT 3380 (71,051-071)	
	7590 06/02/201 HOWARD ATTORNE		EXAMINER	
450 West Fourth Street			HUDA, SAEED M	
Royal Oak, MI 48067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,041	MORITA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAEED M. HUDA	1791
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Period for Reply	V 10 0FT TO EVEIDE - 140NTH	(0) 0D TUBER (00) BAYO
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 £ 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receiv Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate

Application/Control Number: 10/599,041 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-9 and in the reply filed on 12/22/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the basis for the restriction requirement is not clearly presented. This is not found persuasive because a clear showing of the reasoning behind the restriction has been presented.
- 2. The common technical feature is not novel for the same reasons provided in the obviousness rejection discussed below.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyajima et al. (US 2002/0015748 A1) in view of Lee et al. (EP-A-0 99798).
 - a. Regarding claim 1, Miyajima et al. teach a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device sealed in a cured resin body by placing an unsealed semiconductor device into a mold and subjecting a curable resin composition that fills the spaces between the mold and the unsealed semiconductor device to compression molding under a predetermined molding temperature (abstract,

Application/Control Number: 10/599,041

Art Unit: 1791

[0001], figure 1). Miyajima et al. fail to tech the use of which is a liquid silicon composition, wherein the viscosity at room temperature is of 90 Pa·s or less.

Page 3

Lee et al. teach a compression set of a hydrosilylation-curable liquid silicone composition used in cured injection moldable compositions (abstract).

Lee et al. go on to teach that the silicon composition has a viscosity of less than 90 Pa·s at room temperature (paragraph 66 and examples 1-2) and is suitable for the encapsulation of chip scale packages (paragraph 19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Miyajima et al. by selecting the invention of Lee et al. because this will yield desirable properties such as low alpha particle emissions, very good moisture resistance, excellent electrical insulation, excellent thermal stability, and very high ionic purity ([0002]).

As show in the prior art rejection above, the structure of the material used in the prior art is the same as that being claimed and disclosed in the specification of this application. Since both materials are the same in structure and the viscosity used in the prior art meets the claimed viscosity, the material of the prior art would necessarily have the same curability property as that in the invention of Applicant. It has been well settled that discovering a new property of an old product is not patentable.

b. Regarding claims 2-3, Miyajima et al. in view of Lee et al. teach that the silicone composition is a hydrosilylation-curable liquid silicone composition (Lee

Application/Control Number: 10/599,041

Art Unit: 1791

et al. paragraphs 57-58) and that the cured silicone has a modulus of elasticity of 1 GPa or less (Lee et al. table 1);

Page 4

- c. Regarding claim 4, Miyajima et al. teach clamping the semiconductor device between the upper mold and the lower mold, and compression molding the adopted resin (figure 2).
- d. Regarding claim 5, Miyajima et al. teach that the obtained sealed assembly is cut into separate sealed semiconductor devices (figure 5).
- e. Regarding claims 6-7, it is a common practice to mount chip on a printed circuit board, electrically connecting the chips to the printed circuit board via bonding wires, and sealing the chips and the connections with a cured resin (figure 13).
- f. Regarding claims 8-9, Miyajima et al. teach the use of release films (paragraph 50) held against the inner surface of the mold by air suction (paragraph 12).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAEED M. HUDA whose telephone number is (571)270-5514. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KHANH NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/SAEED M. HUDA/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791